

A model of **Presburger Arithmetic**, $(\Gamma, +, <, 0, 1)$ abelian group with least positive element 1 satisfy schema

$$\forall x \in \Gamma \exists y \in \Gamma \exists i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\} (x = ny + i)$$

Definition 1. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the group of sequences $(r_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq r_n < n & \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1, \\ r_{nm} \equiv r_n \pmod{n} & \quad \text{for all } n, m \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(r_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} + (s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (r_n + s_n \pmod{n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

Definition 2. For Γ a Presburger group, the natural map

$$\varrho: \Gamma \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$$

is the homomorphism

$$\varrho(\gamma) = (\gamma \pmod{1}, \gamma \pmod{2}, \gamma \pmod{3})$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Definition 3. Γ is **divisible** if for all $x \in \Gamma$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ there is $y \in \Gamma$ with $ny = x$.

Proposition 4. If Γ is a Presburger group then Γ/\mathbb{Z} is a convex subgroup, so Γ/\mathbb{Z} is divisible.

Theorem 5. *Suppose $\bar{\Gamma}$ is a divisible ordered abelian group and $\bar{\rho}: \bar{\Gamma} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}}/\mathbb{Z}$ a homomorphism. Then for some Preordered Group Γ we have*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \Gamma & \longrightarrow & \Gamma/\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \bar{\Gamma} \\
 \downarrow \rho & & & & \downarrow \bar{\rho} \\
 \hat{\mathbb{Z}} & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow & \hat{\mathbb{Z}}/\mathbb{Z}
 \end{array}$$

where $\theta: \Gamma/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}$ is an isomorphism and the unlabeled arrows represent natural quotient maps.

Notation 6. We write $\bar{\varrho}: \bar{\Gamma} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}}/\mathbb{Z}$ to mean the inclusion

$$\varrho/\mathbb{Z}: \Gamma/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

Definition 7. Let $\alpha: \bar{\Gamma} \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}$ be an automorphism of an ordered divisible abelian group so that

$$\bar{\varrho}(\alpha(a)) = \bar{\varrho}(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in \bar{\Gamma}.$$

Then we say the map $\alpha: \bar{\Gamma} \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}$ **preserves residues** and is a **residue-automorphism**.

Proposition 8. If $\alpha: \bar{\Gamma} \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}$ is a residue-automorphism, then α lifts to an automorphism:

$$\hat{\alpha}: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma.$$

Definition 9. Γ is **homogeneous** if for $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \Gamma^n$ $\text{tp}(\bar{b})$ there is some $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ with $\bar{a}\alpha = \bar{b}$.

Definition 10. For $a, b \in \bar{\Gamma}$ with $a, b > \mathbb{Z}$, we define

$$\text{st} \left(\frac{a}{b} \right) = \{q \in \mathbb{Q} : qb < a\}.$$

This is an extended cut, identified with an extended interval $[0, \infty] \subseteq \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, where $r = \sup \text{st} \left(\frac{a}{b} \right)$.

Lemma 11. For $a, b, c \in \bar{\Gamma}, q \in \mathbb{Q}$ the following hold:

1. $\text{st} \left(\frac{a}{b} \right) \cdot \text{st} \left(\frac{b}{c} \right) = \text{st} \left(\frac{a}{c} \right)$ provided the LHS is defined;

2. $\text{st} \left(\frac{qa}{b} \right) = q \cdot \text{st} \left(\frac{a}{b} \right)$;

3. $\text{st} \left(\frac{a}{qb} \right) = \frac{1}{q} \cdot \text{st} \left(\frac{a}{b} \right)$ for $q \neq 0$;

4. $\text{st} \left(\frac{a+b}{c} \right) = \text{st} \left(\frac{a}{c} \right) + \text{st} \left(\frac{b}{c} \right)$ provided the RHS is defined;

5. if $a \leq b$ then $\text{st} \left(\frac{a}{c} \right) \leq \text{st} \left(\frac{b}{c} \right)$;

6. if $\text{st} \left(\frac{a}{b} \right) \notin \{0, \pm\infty\}$ then $\text{st} \left(\frac{a}{b} \right) = \text{st} \left(\frac{b}{a} \right)^{-1}$.

Definition 12. If $a, b \in \bar{\Gamma}$ then $a \equiv b$ if either $a = b$ and

$$\text{st} \left(\frac{a}{b} \right) \notin \{0, \pm\infty\}.$$

This is an equivalence relation so we may define:

Definition 13. $V = \bar{\Gamma}/\equiv$ is the set of **values** of $\bar{\Gamma}$ ordered by

$$a/\equiv < b/\equiv \iff a/\equiv \neq b/\equiv \text{ and } |a| < |b|$$

The **valuation map** $v: \bar{\Gamma} \rightarrow V$ is defined by

$$a \mapsto a/\equiv.$$

$v: \bar{\Gamma} \rightarrow V$ has the following properties:

1. $v(qa) = v(a)$ for all $q \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$;

2. if $|a| \leq |b|$ then $v(a) \leq v(b)$;

3. if $n|a| < |b|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $v(a) < v(b)$;

4. $\text{st}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = 1 \Rightarrow v(a - b) < v(a), v(b)$;

5. $\text{st}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) \neq 1 \Rightarrow v(a - b) = \max(v(a), v(b))$.

Definition 14. *The set $B \subseteq \bar{\Gamma}$ is strongly independent and every nontrivial \mathbb{Q} -linear combination*

$$a = q_1 b_1 + \cdots + q_n b_n$$

has value

$$v(a) = \max\{v(b_j) : 1 \leq j \leq n, q_j \neq 0\}$$

where $q_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $b_j \in B$.

Lemma 15 (Exchange Lemma). *If a_1, \dots, a_n are dependent in $\bar{\Gamma}$, and $a \in \bar{\Gamma}$ then*

either $a \in \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$

or $\exists a_{n+1} \in \langle a_1, \dots, a_n, a \rangle$ such that a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} are strongly independent and $a \in \langle a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \rangle$.

Lemma 16. *If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq \bar{\Gamma}$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ strongly independent sets, then the following are*

1. $\text{tp}(\bar{x}) = \text{tp}(\bar{y});$

2. $\varrho(x_i) = \varrho(y_i)$ and $\text{st}\left(\frac{x_i}{x_j}\right) = \text{st}\left(\frac{y_i}{y_j}\right)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq j$

Proof. Follows from quantifier elimination.

Theorem 17. *Suppose Γ is 2-homogeneous, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1. Γ has no smallest non-standard value, and there exists a non-trivial $g \in G$;*
- 2. there is some $x \in \Gamma$ with $\varrho(x) = 0$ and there are elements with value less than $v(x)$;*
- 3. there is a value-defying automorphism $h \in G$;*
- 4. Γ contains a unique maximal convex submodel with a dense linear order, with $\varrho^{-1}(r)$ dense in Γ so that for all non-standard $x, y, z \in \Gamma$ there is w with $\text{st}\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) = \text{st}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)$.*

Definition 18. A Presburger group Γ is **pseudosaturated** if $\Gamma \not\cong \mathbb{Z}$ and

1. for $\bar{\rho}: \bar{\Gamma} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}/\mathbb{Z}$ and each $\mathbb{Z} + r \in \text{Im}(\bar{\rho})$, the inverse image $\bar{\rho}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z} + r)$ is dense in $\bar{\Gamma}$;

2. for $x, y, z \in \Gamma$ with $z \notin \mathbb{Z}$, there is some $w \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for which

$$\text{st} \left(\frac{w}{z} \right) = \text{st} \left(\frac{x}{y} \right);$$

3. the set of values V is a dense linear order with least point 0 and no greatest point.

Theorem 19. Let $\bar{\Gamma}$ be prs and suppose that $(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \bar{a} \in \bar{\Gamma}$ and $(b_1, \dots, b_n) = \bar{b} \in \bar{\Gamma}$ are such that $\text{tp}(\bar{a}) = \text{tp}(\bar{b})$ and there are strongly independent sets $\{a'_1, \dots, a'_n\}$ and $\{b'_1, \dots, b'_n\}$ for which:

1. $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle = \langle a'_1, \dots, a'_n \rangle$ and $\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle = \langle b'_1, \dots, b'_n \rangle$
2. $a_i = q_1 a'_1 + \dots + q_n a'_n$ if and only if $b_i = q_1 b'_1 + \dots + q_n b'_n$ for $q_1, \dots, q_n \in \mathbb{Q}$;
3. $\bar{q}(a'_i) = \bar{q}(b'_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$;
4. $\text{st} \left(\frac{a'_i}{a'_j} \right) = \text{st} \left(\frac{b'_i}{b'_j} \right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$.

Theorem 20. *Suppose Γ is countable prs, and t*

$$A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}, \quad B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots\}$$

are strongly independent subsets of Γ with:

1. $\varrho(a_i) = \varrho(b_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$;
2. $\text{st} \left(\frac{a_i}{a_j} \right) = \text{st} \left(\frac{b_i}{b_j} \right)$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

Then there exists an automorphism $\theta: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ mapping a_i to b_i for all i .

Corollary 21. *If Γ is countable prs then Γ is hom*

Example 22.

$$G_v = \{g \in G : v(\gamma g) = v(\gamma) \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma\}$$

is a non-trivial, proper, closed normal subgroup of G .

Theorem 23. Let Γ be countable prs with $h \in G$. Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ and $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ are such that $\langle a_i, b_i \rangle$ are independent. Suppose further that $\infty \in \text{stQ}(\langle h \rangle)$. Then there exist $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \Gamma$ such that

$$\bar{a}h^{g_1}h^{-g_2} = \bar{b}.$$

Lemma 24. Suppose $h \in G$ preserves values, and $g_1, g_2 \in G$ are arbitrary. Then $v(\gamma g^{-1}h g) = v(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Definition 25. *If $S_n \subseteq (\text{stQ}(\bar{\Gamma}))^n \subseteq (\mathbb{R}_{>0}^*)^n$ and then the stQ-closure properties are as follows:*

1. *Each S_n is nonempty and closed under pointwise*
2. *each S_n is closed under pointwise inversion;*
3. *if $(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in S$ and $m \leq n$ then*

$$(r_1, \dots, r_{m-1}, r_m +$$
4. *if $(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in S$ and $m \leq n + 1$ then there exist r'_m so that $(r_1, \dots, r_{m-1}, r'_m, r_m, \dots, r_n) \in S$.*

Definition 26. *If $S \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \omega} (\text{stQ}(\overline{\Gamma}))^n$ is stQ-closed, then the set of residue automorphisms*

$$G_S^{\leq \omega} = \left\{ g \in G_V : \forall n \in \omega \forall v(x_1) < \dots < v(x_n) \right. \\ \left. \left(\text{st} \left(\frac{x_1 g}{x_1} \right), \dots, \text{st} \right) \right.$$

Theorem 27. *If $S \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \omega} (\text{stQ}(\overline{\Gamma}))^n$ is stQ-closed, then $G_S^{\leq \omega}$ is a closed normal subgroup of G .*

Theorem 28. *Suppose $N \trianglelefteq G$ is a normal subgroup and $\{v(x_1), \dots, v(x_n)\}$ are automorphisms. If*

$$S = \left\{ \left(\text{st} \left(\frac{x_1 g}{x_1} \right), \dots, \text{st} \left(\frac{x_n g}{x_n} \right) \right) : n \in \omega, g \in N, v(x_1) \right\}$$

then S satisfies the stQ-closure properties and N

Theorem 29. *Suppose that G has trivial centre and N is a closed normal subgroup of G . If*

$$S = \left\{ \left(\text{st} \left(\frac{x_1 g}{x_1} \right), \dots, \text{st} \left(\frac{x_n g}{x_n} \right) \right) : n \in \omega, g \in N, v(x_1) \right\}$$

then $N = G_S^{<\omega}$.

Definition 30. Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$. We say γ_1 is close

$$\text{st} \left(\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2} \right) = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 0.$$

We denote this property by writing $\gamma_1 \frown \gamma_2$.

Proposition 31. Suppose $h \in G$ preserves values. Then there are $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \bar{\Gamma}$ such that $0 < v(a_n) < \dots < v(a_1) < \infty$ and $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \bar{\Gamma}$ such that $\text{tp}(a_i) = \text{tp}(b_i)$ in $\text{stQ}(h)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then there is some $w \in \bar{\Gamma}$ such that $a_i w \frown b_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proposition 32. *Suppose $h \in G$ is non-trivial, fix a segment and that $\gamma h \frown \gamma$ for all $\gamma \in \bar{\Gamma}$. Suppose $a_1 < \dots < a_n \in \bar{\Gamma}$ are strongly independent, that $b_k \frown a_k$ so that $\bar{\rho}(b_k) = \bar{\rho}(a_k)$. Then there is $g_k \in \langle h^G \rangle$ which fixes each a_i with $v(a_i) \geq v(a_k)$ and maps a_k to b_k and so that $\gamma g_k \frown \gamma$ for all $\gamma \in \bar{\Gamma}$.*

Corollary 33. *Suppose $h \in G$ is non-trivial, fix a segment and that $\gamma h \frown \gamma$ for all $\gamma \in \bar{\Gamma}$. Suppose a_1, \dots, a_n*

$$\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$$

are both strongly independent, that $a_i \frown b_i$ with $v(a_i) = v(b_i)$ and $\text{st} \left(\frac{a_i}{a_j} \right) = \text{st} \left(\frac{b_i}{b_j} \right)$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Then there is $w \in \langle h^G \rangle$ which maps $w: a_i \mapsto b_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proposition 34. *If $T_1, T_2 \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \omega} (\text{st}Q(\bar{\Gamma}))^n$ are sets such that $T_1 \not\subseteq T_2$ then there exists some (r_1, \dots, r_n) with*

$$(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in T_1 \quad \text{but} \quad (r_1, \dots, r_n) \notin T_2$$

then there is a residue automorphism $g \in G$ with $g \notin G_{T_2}^{<\omega}$.

Proposition 35. *Suppose $T_1 \subseteq T_2$. Then $G_{T_1}^{<\omega} \subseteq G_{T_2}^{<\omega}$.*

Proposition 36. *Suppose T_1 and T_2 are both stQ*
 $\langle T_1 \cup T_2 \rangle = \{t_1.t_2 : t_1 \in T_1, t_2 \in T_2\}$ *is stQ-closed.*

Definition 37. *If $S \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \omega} (\text{stQ}(\bar{\Gamma}))^n$ then the stQ-closure*
of S is defined to be:

$$\bar{S}^{\text{stQ}} = \bigcup_{\substack{T \subseteq \langle S \rangle \\ T \text{ stQ-closed}}} T.$$

Proposition 38. *If $S \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \omega} (\text{stQ}(\bar{\Gamma}))^n$ then \bar{S}^{stQ}*
and $\bar{S}^{\text{stQ}} \subseteq \langle S \rangle$.

Proposition 39. *Let T_1 and T_2 be stQ-closed. Then*

$$G_{\langle T_1 \cup T_2 \rangle}^{<\omega} = \overline{\langle G_{T_1}^{<\omega} \cup G_{T_2}^{<\omega} \rangle}.$$

Proposition 40. *Let T_1 and T_2 be stQ-closed. Then*

$$G_{T_1 \cap T_2}^{<\omega} = G_{T_1}^{<\omega} \cap G_{T_2}^{<\omega}.$$

Definition 41. Let $\Gamma_v \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \Gamma^n$ be the set of $v(x_1) < \dots < v(x_n)$.

Definition 42. If T is stQ-closed and $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \overline{\Gamma_v}$ we \bar{y} if $\text{tp}(\bar{x}) = \text{tp}(\bar{y})$ and

$$\left(\text{st} \left(\frac{x_1}{y_1} \right), \dots, \text{st} \left(\frac{x_n}{y_n} \right) \right) \in T.$$

Lemma 43. Suppose $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \Gamma_v$. Then $\bar{x} \sim_T \bar{y}$ $\bar{x}g = \bar{y}$ for some $g \in G_T^{<\omega}$.

Theorem 44. *In the diagram:*

1. $G_{T_1}^{\leq \omega} \subseteq G_{T_2}^{\leq \omega}$ if and only if for all $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \Gamma_v$ we have $\bar{x} \sim_{T_2} \bar{y}$.

2. *The arrows are bijections.*

Proposition 45. *Suppose \sim is a G -invariant equiv on Γ_V and that*

1. *if $x_1, \dots, x_n \sim y_1, \dots, y_n$ and $m \leq n$ then*

$$x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n \sim y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}, y_{m+1}, \dots, y_n$$

2. *if $x_1, \dots, x_n \sim y_1, \dots, y_n$ and $m \leq n + 1$ then there is a pair x'_m, y'_m with*

$$x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x'_m, x_m, \dots, x_n \sim y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}, y'_m, y_m, \dots, y_n$$

3. *suppose \bar{x}, \bar{y} are such that $\bar{x} \frown \bar{y}$, then $\bar{x} \sim \bar{y}$.*

Then there is an stQ-closed T with $\bar{x} \sim \bar{y}$ if and only if $\bar{x} \frown \bar{y}$.